

CMP328

Wednesday 14 July 2021

Online Meeting via Teams

WELCOME



nationalgridESO

The slide features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are three thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right. Additionally, a single thick yellow line runs horizontally across the bottom of the slide, just above the logo.

Objectives and Timeline

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

Timeline for CMP328 – as of 16 June 2021

Milestone	Date
Workgroup Meeting 5 to review Workgroup Consultation responses, review ESO's alternative (and hold alternative vote on this solution), identify any other potential alternatives)	14 April 2021
Workgroup meeting 6 and 7 to finalise Proposer's solution, review any alternatives and review legal text	25 May 2021 (9am-1pm) and 16 June 2021 (10am – 3pm)
Workgroup meeting 8 Finalise Legal Text, finalise Workgroup Report, agree that Terms of Reference have been met and hold alternative and Workgroup Vote	14 July 2021 (10am – 3pm) <i>Note separate ESO/DNO call on 30 June 2021 to agree content of new Exhibits – led by Grahame Neale</i>
Workgroup Report issued to Panel	22 July 2021
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	30 July 2021
Code Administrator Consultation (20 working days)	9 August 2021 to 7 September 2021
Draft Final Modification Report issued to Panel	16 September 2021
Draft Final Modification Report presented to Panel	24 September 2021
Final Modification Report issued to Panel to check votes recorded correctly (5 working days)	28 September 2021
Submission of Final Modification Report to Ofgem	6 October 2021
Implementation Date	TBC (12 months after Authority Decision?)

The background features several decorative yellow lines. In the top left, there are several thin, curved lines that sweep across the upper portion of the slide. In the bottom right, there are three thick, parallel diagonal lines that extend from the bottom left towards the top right, creating a sense of movement and direction.

Alternative and Workgroup Vote

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP328 – Can I vote? and What is the Alternative Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

Stage 1 – Alternative Vote

- Vote on whether Workgroup Alternative Requests should become Workgroup Alternative CUSC Modifications.
- The Alternative vote is carried out to identify the level of Workgroup support there is for any potential alternative options that have been brought forward by either any member of the Workgroup OR an Industry Participant as part of the Workgroup Consultation.
- **Should the majority of the Workgroup OR the Chairman believe that the potential alternative solution would better facilitate the CUSC objectives (against Baseline or the Original) then the potential alternative will be fully developed by the Workgroup with legal text to form a Workgroup Alternative CUSC modification (WACM) and submitted to the Panel and Authority alongside the Original solution for the Panel Recommendation vote and the Authority decision.**

CMP328 – Can I vote? and What is the Workgroup Vote?

To participate in any votes, Workgroup members need to have attended at least 50% of meetings

Stage 2 – Workgroup Vote

- 2a) Assess the original (if there are any) against the CUSC objectives compared to the baseline (the current CUSC)
- 2b) Where one or more WACMs exist, does each WACM better facilitate the Applicable CUSC Objectives than the Original Modification Proposal
- 2c) Vote on which of the options is best.

CMP328 Defect

Defect

Currently within the CUSC there is no mechanism or specific process covering arrangements for Transmission connections that could have an impact on the Distribution system. NGESO have proposed utilising the Third Party Works process for this purpose. The Third Party Works process is not fit for this purpose and the defect identified, is the lack of a robust process to be used when a transmission connection triggers a distribution impact assessment.



Terms of Reference

Paul Mullen – National Grid ESO Code Administrator

CMP328 Terms of Reference

Workgroup Terms of Reference

- a) Consider EBGL implications
- b) Evaluate the suitability of how impacts of transmission connections to distribution networks are assessed currently to identify perceived gaps and improvements, in order to define a comprehensive repeatable and consistent methodology
- c) Develop the proposed arrangements for a Distributional Impact Assessment type process for connecting the new user; consider existing requirements of other directly connected users inclusive of scope, roles and responsibilities and compliance processes.
- d) Consider how the TSO and relevant network operator will ensure they coordinate and agree the connection requirements with the generation, storage or demand user.
- e) Consider if the constraint payment arrangements in the CUSC need to be updated.
- f) Consider if the substantial modification requirements e.g. RFG, DCC etc. will apply to the DSO or the existing generation or demand User in terms of seeking to amend their respective connection agreements.
- g) Consider cross-code impacts, notably on STC.
- h) Consideration of the interaction and impacts of changes in distributed generation/storage/demand on one distribution system upon another distribution system on generation/storage/demand connected to its system.